JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 28, No. 1, February 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.14403/jcms.2015.28.1.1

THE CONVERGENCE BALL OF INEXACT NEWTON-LIKE METHOD IN BANACH SPACE UNDER WEAK LIPSHITZ CONDITION

IOANNIS K. ARGYROS* AND SANTHOSH GEORGE**

ABSTRACT. We present a local convergence analysis for inexact Newton-like method in a Banach space under weaker Lipschitz condition. The convergence ball is enlarged and the estimates on the error distances are more precise under the same computational cost as in earlier studies such as [6, 7, 11, 18]. Some special cases are considered and applications for solving nonlinear systems using the Newton-arithmetic mean method are improved with the new convergence technique.

1. Introduction

In this study we are concerned with the problem of approximating a solution x^* of the nonlinear equation

F(x) = 0,

where F is a Fréchet differentiable operator defined on an open ball $U(x^*, r)$ with center x^* and radius r > 0 of a Banach space X with values in a Banach space Y. Many problems in Applied Sciences and other disciplines can be brought in a form like (1.1) using Mathematical Modelling [9, 12, 16, 18, 19]. The solutions of these equations can be found in closed form. That is why most solution methods for these equations are iterative. In Applied Sciences, the practice of numerical analysis is essentially connected to variants of Newton's method [1]-[19]. The study about convergence matter of Newton's method is usually centered

Received October 22, 2013; Accepted October 10, 2014.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65H10, 65J25, 65G99, 47H17, 49M15.

Key words and phrases: inexact Newton-like method, Banach space, convergence ball, local convergence, weak Lipschitz condition.

Correspondence should be addressed to Ioannis K. Argyros, ioannisa@cameron. edu.

on two types: semi-local and local convergence analysis. The semi-local convergence matter is, based on the information around an initial point, to give criteria ensuring the convergence of Newton's method, while the local one is, based on the information around a solution, to find estimates of the redii of the convergence balls. There is a plethora of studies under different Lipschitz-type hypotheses on the operators involved (cf. [1]-[19]) and the references there in). In the present paper we study the local convergence of the Inexact Newton-Like Method(INLM) defined by

For n = 0 step 1 until convergence do. Find the step r_n which satisfies

Set

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + r_n,$$

where x_0 is an initial point. If $A_n = F'(x_n)$, (INLM) reduces to Newton's method and if $A_n = F'(x_0)$, (INLM) reduces to the simplified Newton's method. Other choices for operator A_n are possible [2]-[5]. We suppose: There exists $x^* \in X$ such that $F'(x^*) = 0$ and $F'(x^*)^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$. The radius Lipschitz condition with L average

(1.3)
$$||F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_\theta))|| \le \int_{\theta\rho(x)}^{\rho} (x)L(u)du$$

for each $x \in U(x^*, r)$, $\rho(x) = ||x - x^*||$, $x_{\theta} = x^* + \theta(x - x^*)$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$ for some nondecreasing function L holds and A(x) is invertible and

(1.4)
$$||A(x)^{-1}F'(x)|| \le a_1, ||A(x)^{-1}F'(x) - I|| \le a_2$$

for each $x \in U(x^*, r)$.

It follows from (1.3) that there exists a nondecreasing function L_0 such that center Lipschitz condition with L_0 average (1.5)

$$||F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x^*))|| \le \int_0^{\rho(x)} L_0(u) du, \text{ for each } x \in U(x^*, r).$$

Clearly,

(1.6)
$$L_0(u) \le L(u), \text{ for each } u \in [0, r]$$

holds in general and $\frac{L}{L_0}$ can be arbitrarily large [2], [5].

Hypothesis (1.3) has been used extensively in the study of Newton's method and together with (1.4) in the study of inexact Newton methods. In particular, convergence balls have been given under (1.3) in [7]. We

show under the same hypotheses that the convergence balls can be enlarged and the estimates on the distances $||x_n - x^*||$ for each $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ can be more precise. These advantages are obtained since, using (1.5) and the Banach lemma on invertible operators [12], [13] we obtain the estimate

(1.7)
$$||F'(x)^{-1}F'(x^*)|| \le (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x)} L_0(u) du)^{-1}$$
 for each $x \in U(x^*, r)$,

whereas in earlier studies such as [6], [7], [11], [17], (1.4) and the Banach Lemma are used to obtain

(1.8)
$$||F'(x)^{-1}F'(x^*)|| \le (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x)} L(u)du)^{-1}$$
 for each $x \in U(x^*, r)$.

Estimate (1.7) is more accurate than (1.8) if $L_0 < L$ and is obtained using (1.5) which is cheaper than (1.4). The exchange of (1.8) with (1.7) in the convegence analysis leads to the advantages already mentioned above. From now on we shall denote by (C) conditions (1.3)-(1.5) and by (C₀) conditions (1.4) and (1.5). We shall also use the auxiliary Lemmas taken from [6], [18].

LEMMA 1.1. Let $f(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\delta}} \int_0^t L(u) u^{\delta-1} du$, $\delta \ge 1, 0 \le t \le r$, where L is a positive integrable nondecreasing function in [0, r]. Then, function g is nondecreasing monotonically with respect to t.

LEMMA 1.2. Let $g(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t L(u)(\delta t - u) du$, $\delta \ge 1$, $0 \le t \le r$, where L is a positive integrable and nondecreasing monotonically function in [0, r]. Then, function g is nondecreasing monotonically with respect to t.

2. Local convergence of (INLM)

In this section first we present the local convergence of (INLM) under the (C) conditions.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose the (C) conditions and let r satisfy

(2.1)
$$\frac{a_1 \int_0^r L(u) u du}{r(1 - \int_0^r L_0(u) du)} + a_2 \le 1.$$

Then (INLM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ and

Ioannis K. Argyros and Santhosh George

(2.2)
$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &+ a_2 \|x_n - x^*\|, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where

(2.3)
$$q := \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)(1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} + a_2 < 1.$$

Proof. Choosing $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ where r satisfies (2.1), then q determined by (2.3) is less than 1. in fact, from the monotonicity of L and Lemma 1.1, we have

$$q = \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} \rho(x_0) + a_2$$

$$< \frac{a_1 \int_0^r L(u) u du}{r^2 (1 - \int_0^r L_0(u) du)} r + a_2 \le 1.$$

Then, if $x_n \in U(x^*, r)$, we have by (INLM)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x^* &= x_n - x^* - A_n^{-1}(F(x_n) - F(x^*)) \\ &= x_n - x^* - \int_0^1 A_n^{-1} F'(x_\theta) d\theta(x_n - x^*) \\ &= A_n^{-1} F'(x_n) \int_0^1 F'(x_n)^{-1} F'(x^*) (F'(x^*)^{-1} \\ &\times (F'(x_n) - F'(x_\theta)))(x_n - x^*) d\theta \\ &+ A_n^{-1} (A_n - F'(x_n))(x_n - x^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{\theta} = x^* + \theta(x_n - x^*)$. Using (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.7) in turn, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \|A_n^{-1}F'(x_n)\| \int_0^1 \|F'(x_n)^{-1}F'(x^*)\| \\ &\times \|(F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x_n) - F'(x_\theta)))\| \|x_n - x^*\| d\theta \\ &+ \|A_n^{-1}(A_n - F'(x_n))\| \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{a_1}{1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u) du} \int_0^1 \int_{\theta\rho(x_n)}^{\rho(x_n)} L(u) du\rho(x_n) d\theta + a_2\rho(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

The convergence ball of inexact Newton-like method

$$=\frac{a_1\int_0^{\rho(x_n)}L(u)udu}{1-\int_0^{\rho(x_n)}L_0(u)du}+a_2\rho(x_n).$$

Taking n = 0 above, we obtain $||x_1 - x^*|| \le q ||x_0 - x^*|| < ||x_0 - x^*||$, i.e., $x_1 \in U(x^*, r)$. By mathematical induction, all x_n belong to $U(x^*, r)$ and $\rho(x_n) = ||x_n - x^*||$ decreases monotonically. Therefore, for all $n = 0, 1, \cdots$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &= \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_n)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u) du)} \rho(x_n)^2 + a_2 \rho(x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} \rho(x_n)^2 + a_2 \rho(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.2). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Next, we present the local convergence of (INLM) under the (C_0) conditions.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose the (C_0) conditions and let r > 0 satisfy

(2.4)
$$\frac{a_1 \int_0^r L_0(u)(2r-u)du}{r(1-\int_0^r L_0(u)du)} + a_2 \le 1.$$

Then (INLM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ and

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \leq \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u)(2\rho(x_0) - u) du}{\rho(x_0)(1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} \|x_n - x^*\|^2$$

$$+ a_2 \|x_n - x^*\|, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

where

(2.6)
$$q_0 := \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u)(2\rho(x_0) - u)du}{\rho(x_0)(1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u)du)} + a_2 < 1.$$

Proof. Arbitrarily choosing $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ where r satisfies (2.4), then q_0 determined by (2.6) is less than 1. in fact, from the monotonicity of L and Lemma 1.1, we have

$$q_{0} = \frac{a_{1} \int_{0}^{\rho(x_{0})} L_{0}(u)(2\rho(x_{0}) - u)du}{\rho(x_{0})^{2}(1 - \int_{0}^{\rho(x_{0})} L_{0}(u)du)}\rho(x_{0}) + a_{2}$$

$$< \frac{a_{1} \int_{0}^{r} L_{0}(u)(2r - u)du}{r^{2}(1 - \int_{0}^{r} L_{0}(u)du)}r + a_{2} \leq 1.$$

Now if $x_n \in U(x^*, r)$, we have by (INLM)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - x^* &= x_n - x^* - A_n^{-1}(F(x_n) - F(x^*)) \\ &= x_n - x^* - \int_0^1 A_n^{-1} F'(x_\theta) d\theta(x_n - x^*) \\ &= A_n^{-1} F'(x_n) \int_0^1 F'(x_n)^{-1} F'(x^*) (F'(x^*)^{-1} \\ &\times (F'(x_n) - F'(x_\theta)))(x_n - x^*) d\theta \\ &+ A_n^{-1} (A_n - F'(x_n))(x_n - x^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{\theta} = x^* + \theta(x_n - x^*)$. Using (1.4), (1.5) and (1.7) in turn, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &\leq \|A_n^{-1}F'(x_n)\| \int_0^1 \|F'(x_n)^{-1}F'(x^*)\| \\ &\times \|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x_n) - F'(x_\theta))\| \|x_n - x^*\| d\theta \\ &+ \|A_n^{-1}(A_n - F'(x_n))\| \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{a_1}{1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u) du} \int_0^1 (\int_0^{\rho(x_n)} \\ &+ \int_0^{\theta\rho(x_n)}) L_0(u) du\rho(x_n) d\theta + a_2\rho(x_n) \\ &= \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u)(2\rho(x) - u) du}{1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u) du} + a_2\rho(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking n = 0 above, we obtain $||x_1 - x^*|| \le q_0 ||x_0 - x^*|| < ||x_0 - x^*||$, i.e., $x_1 \in U(x^*, r)$ this shows that (INLM) can be continued an infinite number of times. By mathematical induction, all x_n belong to $U(x^*, r)$ and $\rho(x_n) = ||x_n - x^*||$ decreases monotonically. Therefore, for all $n = 0, 1, \cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &= \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u)(2\rho(x_n) - u) du}{\rho(x_n)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_n)} L_0(u) du)} \rho(x_n)^2 + a_2 \rho(x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u)(2\rho(x_0) - u) du}{\rho(x_0)^2 (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} \rho(x_n)^2 + a_2 \rho(x_n), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.5). The proof of the theorem is complete.

REMARK 2.3. If $L_0 = L$, then the results obtained here are reduced to the corresponding ones in [7]. Otherwise, i.e., if $L_0 < L$, then our results constitute an improvement. Indeed, let us denote by \bar{q} and $\bar{q_0}$ the quantities used in [7] and obtained from (2.3) and (2.6), respectively, if we replace L_0 by L. Then, we have that

 $q < \bar{q}$

and

$$q_0 < \bar{q_0}$$

Moreover, the radii of convergence obtained from (2.3) and (2.6) are larger (see also next Section). It is worth noticing that in our Theorem 2.2 we use (1.5), whereas in the corresponding Theorem 3.2 in [7] lesaccurate, more expensive and more difficult to verify condition (1.3) is used.

3. Special cases and applications

We specialize functions L_0 and L in this Section. First, we consider the classical case where

(3.1)
$$L_0(u) = L_0 > 0$$
, and $L(u) = L > 0$

are constant functions. Then, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, reduce, respectively to

COROLLARY 3.1. Suppose the (C) conditions and (3.1) hold and

(3.2)
$$r = \frac{2(1-a_2)}{La_1 + 2L_0(1-a_2)}$$

Then (INLM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$,

(3.3)
$$q = \frac{a_1 L \|x_0 - x^*\|}{2(1 - L_0 \|x_0 - x^*\|)} + a_2 < 1$$

and the estimate (2.2) holds.

COROLLARY 3.2. Suppose the (C_0) conditions and (3.1) hold and

(3.4)
$$r = \frac{2\delta}{(3+2\delta)L_0},$$

where $\delta = \frac{1-a_2}{a_1}$. Then (INLM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$,

(3.5)
$$q = \frac{3a_1L_0 ||x_0 - x^*||}{2(1 - L_0 ||x_0 - x^*||)} + a_2 < 1$$

and estimate (2.6) holds.

Ioannis K. Argyros and Santhosh George

REMARK 3.3. If $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = 0$, then (INLM) specializes to the Newton's method and the radius r in (3.2) specializes to the convergence redius given by Traub [16], [17] and Rheinboldt [15] if $L_0 = L$,

$$r_{TR} = \frac{2}{2L}$$

However, our radius r^* is larger if $L_0 < L$, since

$$r_{TR} < r^* = \frac{2}{L + 2L_0}$$

Notice also that

$$\frac{r_{TR}}{r^*} \to \frac{1}{3} \text{ as } \frac{L_0}{L} \to 0$$

Another popular choice for function L is given by [6], [7], [11], [17]

(3.6)
$$L(u) = \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\gamma u)^3}$$
 for some $\gamma > 0$ and each $u \in (0, \frac{1}{\gamma})$.

In this case condition (1.3) is satisfied so that

$$\|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_\theta))\| \le \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma \|x - x^*\|)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 - \theta \gamma \|x - x^*\|)^2}$$

Moreover, condition (1.5) is also satisfied for L_0 defined by

(3.7)
$$L(u) = \frac{2\gamma_0}{(1-\gamma_0 u)^3}$$
 for some $\gamma_0 \in (0,\gamma]$ and each $u \in (0,\frac{1}{\gamma_0})$

so that

$$\|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x_{\theta}))\| \le \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma_0 \|x - x^*\|)^2} - 1.$$

As in Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 we can obtain the specialized results for Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 where L and L_0 are given by (3.6) and (3.7), respectively. However, we leave the details to the motivated reader. In the rest of this section we suppose that X and Y are finite dimensional spaces of \mathbb{R}^k (k a natural number). Next, we improve the results in [8], [11] for the Newton-arithmetic mean (NAM) for solving the system of equations

(3.8)
$$F(x) = 0, \quad F: U(x^*, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k.$$

E. Galligani considered the following two splittings of the matrix F'(x)

(3.9)
$$F'(x) = M_1(x) - N_1(x) = M_2(x) - N_2(x),$$

where the spectral radius $\rho(M_1(x)^{-1}N_1(x)) < 1$, $\rho(M_1(x)^{-2}N_2(x)) < 1$.

Combining the splittings (3.9), (NAM) can be described as follows:

Choose the initial guess x_0

For n = 0 step 1 until convergence d_0

$$w_n^{(0)} = 0$$

For $j = 1, \dots, j_n$ do
 $M_1(x_n)z_1 = N_1(x_n)w_n^{(j-1)} - F(x_n)$
 $M_2(x_n)z_2 = N_2(x_n)w_n^{(j-1)} - F(x_n)$
 $w_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 + z_2)$
Set $x_{n+1} = x_n + w_n^{(j_n)}$.

Here $\{j_n\}$ denotes a sequence of positive integers.

In fact, at each outer iteration n, the (NAM) method generates the vectors

$$w_n^{(1)} = -M(x_n)^{-1}F(x_n),$$

$$w_n^{(2)} = -(H(x_n) + I)M(x_n)^{-1}F(x_n),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$w_n^{(j_n)} = -(\sum_{j=0}^{j_n-1}(H(x_n))^jM(x_n)^{-1}F(x_n),$$

where

(3.10)
$$M(x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(M_1(x)^{-1} + M_2(x)^{-1}),$$

(3.11)
$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(M_1(x)^{-1}N_1(x) + M_2(x)^{-1}N_2(x)) = I - M(x)^{-1}F'(x).$$

If we set

(3.12)
$$A(x_n)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{j_n-1} (H(x_n))^j M(x_n)^{-1},$$

then we have

(3.13)
$$x_{n+1} = x_n - A(x_n)^{-1} F(x_n).$$

Thus, the (NAM) method can be regarded as a class Newton-like method in which $F'(x_n)$ has been replaced by the matrix $A(x_n)$ given by (3.12). We now assume that the matrices $M_1(x_n), M_2(x_n), M(x_n)$ are all nonsingular and H(x) is convergent at $x_n \in D($ i.e., the spectral radius $\rho(H(x_n)) < 1$). Thus from (3.12),

$$A(x_n)^{-1} = (I - (H(x_n))^{j_n})(I - H(x_n))^{-1}M(x_n)^{-1}$$

(3.14)
$$= (I - (H(x_n))^{j_n})(M(x_n)^{-1}F'(x_n))^{-1}M(x_n)^{-1}$$

$$= (I - (H(x_n))^{j_n})F'(x_n)^{-1}.$$

Using the above notation and Theorem 2.1 we present the local result for (NAM).

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose that the (C) conditions holds, $M_1(x), M_2(x), M(x), H(x)$ are invertible for all $x \in U(x^*, r)$ and

(3.15)
$$||I - H(x)|| \le a_1, ||H(x)|| \le a_2 \le 1.$$

Let r > 0 satisfy

(3.16)
$$\frac{a_1 \int_0^r L(u) u du}{r(1 - \int_0^r L_0(u) du)} + a_2 \le 1.$$

Then (NAM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ and

$$||x_{n+1} - x^*|| \leq \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)^2 (1 - a_2) (1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} ||x_n - x^*||^2 (3.17) + a_2 ||x_n - x^*||, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$$

where

(3.18)
$$q := \frac{a_1 \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L(u) u du}{\rho(x_0)(1 - a_2)(1 - \int_0^{\rho(x_0)} L_0(u) du)} + a_2 < 1.$$

Proof. In fact, From (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x_k)^{-1}F'(x_k) - I\| &= \|(H(x_k))^{j_k}\| \le \|H(x_k)\|^{j_k} \le a_2, \\ \|A(x_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)\| &= \|I - (H(x_k))^{j_k}\| \\ &\le \|I - H(x_k)\| \sum_{j=0}^{j_k-1} \|H(x_k)\|^j \\ &\le \frac{\|I - H(x_k)\|}{1 - \|H(x_k)\|} \le \frac{a_1}{1 - a_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The results now follows from Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 3.5. Suppose that the (C) conditions hold L_0 and L are constant functions, $M_1(x), M_2(x), M(x), H(x)$ are invertible for all $x \in U(x^*, r)$ and (3.15) holds. Let

(3.19)
$$r = \frac{2(1-a_2)^2}{La_1 + 2L_0(1-a_2)^2} > 0.$$

Then (NAM) is convergent for all $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ and for

(3.20)
$$q = \frac{a_1 L \|x_0 - x^*\|}{2(1 - a_2)(1 - L_0 \|x_0 - x^*\|)} + a_2 < 1.$$

the inequality (3.17) holds.

REMARK 3.6. If $L_0 = L$, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 reduce to the corresponding results in [7]. In particular if L_0 and L are constant functions our results present the corresponding ones [11] in affine invariant form. The advantages of this approach have been explained in [9]. If $L_0 < L$ our results extend the convergence ball and improve the error estimates on the distances $||x_n - x^*||$ for each $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.

References

- S. Amat, S. Busquier, and M. Negra, Adaptive approximation of nonlinear operators, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 25 (2004), 397-405.
- [2] I. K. Argyros, Y. J. Cho, and S. Hilout Numerical methods for equations and its applications, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis, New York, 2012.
- [3] I. K. Argyros and S. Hilout, Weak convergence conditions for inexact Newtontype methods, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011), 2800-2809.
- [4] I. K. Argyros and S. Hilout, On the semilocal convergence of a Damped Newton's method, Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (2012), no. 5, 2808-2824.
- [5] I. K. Argyros and S. Hilout Weaker conditions for the convergence of Newton's method, J. complexity 28 (2012), 364-387.
- [6] J. Chen and W. Li, Convergence behaviour of inexact Newton methods under weaker Lipschitz condition, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 191 (2006), 143-164.
- [7] J. Chen and Q. Sun, The convergence ball of Newton-like methods in Banach space and applications, Taiwanese J. Math. 11 (2007), no. 2, 383-397.
- [8] J. E. Dennis, On Newton-like methods, Numer. Math. 11 (1968), 324-330.
- [9] P. Deuflhard and G. Heindl, Affine invariant convergence theorem for Newton methods and extension to related methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 16 (1979), 1-10.
- [10] J. A. Ezquerro, J. M. Gutierrez, M. A. Hernandez, N. Romero, and M. J. Rubio, *The Newton method: From Newton to Kantorovich (SPANISH)*, GUC. R.Soc. Mat. Esp. **13** (2010), 53-76.
- [11] E. Galligani, The Newton-arithmetic mean method for the solution of systems of nonlinear equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 134 (2003), 9-34.

Ioannis K. Argyros and Santhosh George

- [12] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [13] J. M. Ortega and W. C. Rheinholdt, Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [14] P. D. Proinov, General local convergence theory for a class of iterative processes and its applications to Newton's method, J. Complexity 25 (2009), 38-62.
- [15] W. C. Rheinholdt, An adaptive continuation process for solving systems of nonlinear equations, Polish Academy of Science, Banach Ctr. Publ. 3 (1977), 129-142.
- [16] J. F. Traub, Iterative methods for the solution of equations, Prentice- Hall Series in Automatic Computation, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.
- [17] J. F. Traub and H. Wozniakowski, Convergence and complexity of Newton iteration, J. Assoc. Comput. Math. 29 (1979), 250-258.
- [18] X. Wang, Convergence of Newton's method and uniqueness of the solution of equations in Banach space, IMA J. Numer. Anal. 20 (2000), 123-134.
- [19] T. J. Ypma, Affine invariant convergence results for Newton's method, BIT, 22 (1982), 108-118.

*

Department of Mathematicsal Sciences Cameron University Lawton OK 73505, USA *E-mail*: ioannisa@cameron.edu

**

Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences NIT Karnataka India-757 025 *E-mail*: sgeorge@nitk.ac.in